

Matthew B. Lehr (Bar No. 213139)  
 Suong T. Nguyen (Bar No. 237557)  
 David J. Lisson (Bar No. 250994)  
 Chung G. Suh (Bar No. 244889)  
 Jeremy Brodsky (Bar No. 257674)  
 DAVIS POLK & WARDWELL LLP  
 1600 El Camino Real  
 Menlo Park, California 94025  
 Telephone: (650) 752-2000  
 Facsimile: (650) 752-2111

Attorneys for Plaintiff  
 Tyco Healthcare Group LP d/b/a  
 VNUS Medical Technologies

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

TYCO HEALTHCARE GROUP LP d/b/a	)	LEAD CASE NO. C08-03129 MMC
VNUS MEDICAL TECHNOLOGIES,	)	
	)	CASE NO. C08-03129 MMC
Plaintiff,	)	<b>AMENDED</b>
	)	
v.	)	<del>[PROPOSED]</del> ORDER GRANTING IN
	)	PART AND DENYING IN PART
BIOLITEC, INC. and NEW STAR LASERS,	)	DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR
INC. d/b/a COOLTOUCH, INC.,	)	ADMINISTRATIVE RELIEF TO FILE
	)	DOCUMENTS UNDER SEAL (D.I. 265)
Defendants.	)	

[CIVIL L.R. 7-11, 79-5]

TYCO HEALTHCARE GROUP LP d/b/a	)	CASE NO. C08-04234 MMC
VNUS MEDICAL TECHNOLOGIES,	)	(consolidated with C08-3129 MMC)
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
v.	)	
	)	
TOTAL VEIN SOLUTIONS, LLC d/b/a	)	
TOTAL VEIN SYSTEMS,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	

Before the Court is the Defendants' Motion for Administrative Relief to File Documents Under Seal dated August 30, 2010, by which Defendants seek leave to file under seal unredacted versions of certain documents submitted in support of a Motion in Limine (1) to Preclude VNUS from Introducing Settlement Agreements or Related Evidence; (2) to Preclude VNUS from Relying on Evidence Related to 510(k) Statements of Substantial Equivalence; (3) to preclude Reference to Defendants as a Group; (4) to Preclude VNUS from Referring to Unclaimed Functions of Tumescant Anesthesia; and (5) to Preclude Julie Davis from Testifying as to Impermissible Reply Opinions (collectively "Defendants' Motions in Limine").

Having reviewed the parties' submissions filed in support of the motion, the Court rules as follows:

1. The motion is GRANTED in part, specifically, as to the following documents that or Dornier Medtech America, Inc. ("Dornier")<sup>1</sup> plaintiff has shown contain material properly filed under seal (see Kertz Decl., filed September 2, 2010), each of which the requesting party is directed to file ~~under seal~~ no later than September 21, 2010 under seal:

- Exhibits 1 (bates-stamped pages VNUS\_150328-29, VNUS\_150331, VNUS\_150336, VNUS\_150338-41, VNUS\_150348), 5, 6 (pages 27, 30, 34-35, 45, 55), 10, 12, 13, 15 (pages 7-10), 19 (bates-stamped pages VNUS\_144136-140), 20, 28, and 29 (pages 13, 15-16, 28) to the Declaration of Charles T. Steenburg in Support of Defendants' Motions in Limine Numbers 1 through 6
- Redacted pages 2 (Ins. 11-13, 16, 24-26, 29), 3 (Ins. 1-4, 9-10, 27-28), and 6 (ln. 15) of Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 1, filed on August 30, 2010
- Redacted page 3 (Ins. 1-9) of Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 3, filed on August 30, 2010
- Redacted page 2 (Ins. 1-5, 25-28) of Defendants' Motion in Limine No. 4, filed on August 30, 2010

2. The motion is DENIED in part, specifically, as to the following documents, in light of plaintiff's having withdrawn its prior designation of confidentiality, each of which the requesting party is directed to file in the public record no later than ~~five calendar days from the date of this~~


<sup>1</sup> Dornier's showing was made after the Court issued its order of September 10, 2010.

1 ~~order:~~ September 21, 2010:

- 2           • Exhibits 8, 9, 18, and 27 to the Declaration of Charles T. Steenburg in Support of
- 3           Defendants' Motions in Limine Numbers 1 through 6
- 4           • Designated portions of Defendants' Motions in Limine not identified above, and any
- 5           portion of Exhibits 1, 6, 15, and 29 not identified above.

6 IT IS SO ORDERED.

7 Dated: September 15 2010

  
HON. MAXINE M. CHESNEY  
United States District Judge